Overview

- Set notation
- Inductively defined sets

Set notation

Type 'a set: sets over type 'a

• $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}, \{x. P x\}$

- $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}, \{x. P x\}$
- $e \in A$, $A \subseteq B$

- $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}, \{x. P x\}$
- $e \in A$, $A \subseteq B$
- $A \cup B$, $A \cap B$, A B, -A

- $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}, \{x. P x\}$
- e ∈ A, A ⊆ B
- $A \cup B$, $A \cap B$, A B, -A
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} Bx$, $\bigcap_{x \in A} Bx$

- $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}, \{x. P x\}$
- e ∈ A, A ⊆ B
- $A \cup B$, $A \cap B$, A B, -A
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} Bx$, $\bigcap_{x \in A} Bx$
- {*i..j*}

- $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}, \{x. P x\}$
- e ∈ A, A ⊆ B
- $A \cup B$, $A \cap B$, A B, -A
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} Bx$, $\bigcap_{x \in A} Bx$
- {*i..j*}
- insert :: 'a ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set

- $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}, \{x.\ P\ x\}$
- $e \in A$, $A \subseteq B$
- $A \cup B$, $A \cap B$, A B, -A
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} Bx$, $\bigcap_{x \in A} Bx$
- {*i..j*}
- insert :: 'a ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set
- $f'A \equiv \{y. \exists x \in A. y = fx\}$

- $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}, \{x. P x\}$
- e ∈ A, A ⊆ B
- $A \cup B$, $A \cap B$, A B, -A
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} Bx$, $\bigcap_{x \in A} Bx$
- {*i..j*}
- insert :: 'a ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set
- $f'A \equiv \{y. \exists x \in A. y = fx\}$
- •

Proofs about sets

Natural deduction proofs:

• equalityI: $[A \subseteq B; B \subseteq A] \Longrightarrow A = B$

Proofs about sets

Natural deduction proofs:

- equalityI: $[A \subseteq B; B \subseteq A] \Longrightarrow A = B$
- subsetI: $(\land x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow x \in B) \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B$

Proofs about sets

Natural deduction proofs:

- equalityI: $[A \subseteq B; B \subseteq A] \Longrightarrow A = B$
- subsetI: $(\land x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow x \in B) \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B$
- ... (see Tutorial)

Demo: proofs about sets

• ∀*x*∈*A*. *P x*

• $\forall x \in A. Px \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow Px$

- $\forall x \in A. Px \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow Px$
- ∃ *x*∈*A*. *P x*

- $\forall x \in A. Px \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow Px$
- $\exists x \in A. Px \equiv \exists x. x \in A \land Px$

- $\forall x \in A. Px \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow Px$
- $\exists x \in A. Px \equiv \exists x. x \in A \land Px$
- ball: $(\land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow Px) \Longrightarrow \forall x \in A. Px$
- bspec: $[\forall x \in A. P x; x \in A] \Longrightarrow P x$

- $\forall x \in A. Px \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow Px$
- $\exists x \in A. Px \equiv \exists x. x \in A \land Px$
- ball: $(\land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow Px) \Longrightarrow \forall x \in A. Px$
- bspec: $[\forall x \in A. P x; x \in A] \Longrightarrow P x$
- bexI: $[P x; x \in A] \Longrightarrow \exists x \in A. P x$
- bexE: $[\exists x \in A. P x; \land x. [x \in A; P x] \Longrightarrow Q] \Longrightarrow Q$

Inductively defined sets

Informally:

Informally:

The empty set is finite

Informally:

- The empty set is finite
- Adding an element to a finite set yields a finite set

Informally:

- The empty set is finite
- Adding an element to a finite set yields a finite set
- These are the only finite sets

Informally:

- The empty set is finite
- Adding an element to a finite set yields a finite set
- These are the only finite sets

In Isabelle/HOL:

consts Fin: 'a set set — The set of all finite set

Informally:

- The empty set is finite
- Adding an element to a finite set yields a finite set
- These are the only finite sets

In Isabelle/HOL:

consts Fin :: 'a set set — The set of all finite set inductive Fin

Informally:

- The empty set is finite
- Adding an element to a finite set yields a finite set
- These are the only finite sets

In Isabelle/HOL:

```
consts Fin :: 'a \ set \ set \ — The set of all finite set inductive Fin intros \{\} \in Fin \implies insert \ a \ A \in Fin \implies insert \ a \ A \in Fin
```

Informally:

Informally:

• 0 is even

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is n+2

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is n+2
- These are the only even numbers

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is n+2
- These are the only even numbers

In Isabelle/HOL:

consts Ev:: nat set — The set of all even numbers

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is n+2
- These are the only even numbers

In Isabelle/HOL:

consts Ev :: nat set — The set of all even numbers inductive Ev

Example: even numbers

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is n+2
- These are the only even numbers

In Isabelle/HOL:

```
consts Ev :: nat set — The set of all even numbers inductive Ev intros 0 \in Ev n \in Ev \Longrightarrow n+2 \in Ev
```

consts $S :: \tau$ set

consts $S :: \tau \text{ set}$ inductive S

```
consts S :: \tau \text{ set} inductive S intros  \llbracket \textbf{ } \textbf{ } a_1 \in S \text{; } \dots \text{ ; } \textbf{ } a_n \in S \text{; } A_1 \text{; } \dots \text{; } A_k \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \textbf{ } \textbf{ } a \in S  \vdots
```

```
consts S :: \tau set inductive S intros \llbracket a_1 \in S; \ldots; a_n \in S; A_1; \ldots; A_k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \in S \vdots where A_1; \ldots; A_k are side conditions not involving S.
```

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \Longrightarrow m+m \in Ev$

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \Longrightarrow m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \Longrightarrow m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \Longrightarrow m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the structure of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

rule 0 ∈ Ev

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \Longrightarrow m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

• rule $0 \in Ev$ $\implies m = 0 \implies 0+0 \in Ev$

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \Longrightarrow m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the structure of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

- rule $0 \in EV$ $\implies m = 0 \implies 0 + 0 \in EV$
- rule $n \in Ev \Longrightarrow n+2 \in Ev$

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \Longrightarrow m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

- rule $0 \in Ev$ $\implies m = 0 \implies 0 + 0 \in Ev$
- rule $n \in Ev \Longrightarrow n+2 \in Ev$ $\Longrightarrow m = n+2$ and $n+n \in Ev$ (ind. hyp.!)

Easy: *4* ∈ *Ev*

$$0 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 2 \in Ev \Longrightarrow 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \Longrightarrow m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

- rule $0 \in Ev$ $\implies m = 0 \implies 0 + 0 \in Ev$
- rule $n \in Ev \Longrightarrow n+2 \in Ev$ $\Longrightarrow m = n+2$ and $n+n \in Ev$ (ind. hyp.!) $\Longrightarrow m+m = (n+2)+(n+2) = ((n+n)+2)+2 \in Ev$

To prove

$$n \in Ev \Longrightarrow P n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

To prove

$$n \in Ev \Longrightarrow P n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

• P 0

To prove

$$n \in Ev \Longrightarrow P n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

- P0
- $P n \Longrightarrow P(n+2)$

To prove

$$n \in Ev \Longrightarrow P n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

- P0
- $P n \Longrightarrow P(n+2)$

Rule Ev. induct:

$$\llbracket n \in Ev; P 0; \bigwedge n. P n \Longrightarrow P(n+2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P n$$

To prove

$$n \in Ev \Longrightarrow P n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

- P0
- $P n \Longrightarrow P(n+2)$

Rule Ev. induct:

$$\llbracket n \in Ev; P 0; \bigwedge n. P n \Longrightarrow P(n+2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P n$$

An elimination rule

Set S is defined inductively.

Set *S* is defined inductively. To prove

$$x \in S \Longrightarrow Px$$

by *rule induction* on $x \in S$

Set *S* is defined inductively. To prove

$$x \in S \Longrightarrow Px$$

by *rule induction* on $x \in S$ we must prove for every rule

$$\llbracket \ extbf{\textit{a}}_1 \in extbf{\textit{S}}; \dots \ extit{\textit{;}} \ extbf{\textit{a}}_n \in extbf{\textit{S}} \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow extbf{\textit{a}} \in extbf{\textit{S}}$$

that *P* is preserved:

Set *S* is defined inductively. To prove

$$x \in S \Longrightarrow Px$$

by *rule induction* on $x \in S$ we must prove for every rule

$$\llbracket \ extbf{\textit{a}}_1 \in extbf{\textit{S}}; \dots \ extit{\textit{;}} \ extbf{\textit{a}}_n \in extbf{\textit{S}} \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow extbf{\textit{a}} \in extbf{\textit{S}}$$

that *P* is preserved:

$$\llbracket P a_1; \ldots; P a_n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P a$$

Set *S* is defined inductively. To prove

$$x \in S \Longrightarrow Px$$

by *rule induction* on $x \in S$ we must prove for every rule

$$\llbracket \ extbf{\textit{a}}_1 \in extbf{\textit{S}} ext{; } \dots \ extbf{; } extbf{\textit{a}}_n \in extbf{\textit{S}} \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow extbf{\textit{a}} \in extbf{\textit{S}}$$

that *P* is preserved:

$$\llbracket P a_1; \ldots; P a_n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P a$$

In Isabelle/HOL:

apply(erule S.induct)

Demo: inductively defined sets