## Proof by Simplification

## Overview

- Term rewriting foundations
- Term rewriting in Isabelle/HOL
- Basic simplification
- Extensions
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Terminology: equation $\leadsto$ rewrite rule
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Equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
0+n & =n  \tag{1}\\
(\text { Suc } m)+n & =\text { Suc }(m+n)  \tag{2}\\
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$$

$$
\begin{align*}
0+\text { Suc } 0 & \leq \text { Suc } 0+x  \tag{1}\\
\text { Suc } 0 & \leq \text { Suc } 0+x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { Suc } 0 \leq \text { Suc }(0+x) \stackrel{(3)}{=}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq 0+x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

True
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## Example:

Equation: $0+n=n$
Term: $a+(0+(b+c))$
$\sigma=\{n \mapsto b+c\}$
Result: $a+(b+c)$
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## Extension: conditional rewriting

Rewrite rules can be conditional:

$$
\llbracket P_{1} \ldots P_{n} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l=r
$$

is applicable to term $t[s]$ with $\sigma$ if

- $\sigma(l)=s$ and
- $\sigma\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(P_{n}\right)$ are provable (again by rewriting).
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## Schematic variables

Three kinds of variables:

- bound: $\forall x . x=x$
- free: $x=x$
- schematic: ? $x=? x$ ("unknown")

Can be mixed: $\forall b$. $f$ ?a $y=b$

- Logically: free = schematic
- Operationally:
- free variables are fixed
- schematic variables are instantiated by substitutions
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## From x to ?x

State lemmas with free variables:
lemma app_Nil2[simp]: "xs @ [] = xs"
done
After the proof: Isabelle changes xs to ?xs (internally):
?xs @ [] = ?xs

Now usable with arbitrary values for ?xs
Example: rewriting

$$
\operatorname{rev}(a @[])=r e v a
$$

using app_Nil2 with $\sigma=\{? \times s \mapsto a\}$
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## Basic simplification

Goal: 1. $\llbracket P_{1} ; \ldots ; P_{m} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow C$
apply(simp add: $\left.e q_{1} \ldots e q_{n}\right)$
Simplify $P_{1} \ldots P_{m}$ and $C$ using

- lemmas with attribute simp
- rules from primrec and datatype
- additional lemmas $e q_{1} \ldots e q_{n}$
- assumptions $P_{1} \ldots P_{m}$

Variations:

- (simp ... del: ...) removes simp-lemmas
- add and del are optional


## auto versus simp

- auto acts on all subgoals
- simp acts only on subgoal 1
- auto applies simp and more
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## Termination

Simplification may not terminate. Isabelle uses simp-rules (almost) blindly from left to right.
Example: $f(x)=g(x), g(x)=f(x)$

$$
\llbracket P_{1} \ldots P_{n} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l=r
$$

is suitable as a simp-rule only
if $l$ is "bigger" than $r$ and each $P_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n<m \Longrightarrow(n<\text { Suc } m)=\text { True YES } \\
& \text { Suc } n<m \Longrightarrow(n<m)=\text { True NO }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## How to ignore assumptions

Assumptions sometimes cause problems, e.g. nontermination. How to exclude them from simp:
apply(simp (no_asm_simp) ...)
Simplify only conclusion
apply(simp (no_asm_use) ...)
Simplify but do not use assumptions
apply(simp (no_asm) ...)
Ignore assumptions completely
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## Rewriting with definitions (constdefs)

Definitions do not have the simp attribute.
They must be used explicitly: (simp add: f_def ...)

## Extensions of rewriting

## Local assumptions

Simplification of $A \longrightarrow B$ :

1. Simplify $A$ to $A^{\prime}$
2. Simplify $B$ using $A^{\prime}$
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## Case splitting with simp

## P(if A then s else t)

$$
(A \longrightarrow P(s)) \wedge(\neg A \longrightarrow P(t))
$$

Automatic

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\text { case e of } 0 \Rightarrow a \mid \text { Suc } n \Rightarrow b) \\
(e=0 \longrightarrow P(a)) \wedge(\forall n \cdot e=\text { Suc } n \longrightarrow P(b))
\end{gathered}
$$

By hand: (simp split: nat.split)
Similar for any datatype $t$ : $t$.split

## Ordered rewriting

Problem: $? x+? y=? y+? x$ does not terminate

## Ordered rewriting

Problem: $? x+? y=? y+? x$ does not terminate
Solution: permutative simp-rules are used only if the term becomes lexicographically smaller.

## Ordered rewriting

Problem: $? x+? y=? y+? x$ does not terminate
Solution: permutative simp-rules are used only if the term becomes lexicographically smaller.
Example: $b+a \leadsto a+b$ but not $a+b \leadsto b+a$.

## Ordered rewriting

Problem: $? x+? y=? y+? x$ does not terminate
Solution: permutative simp-rules are used only if the term becomes lexicographically smaller.
Example: $b+a \leadsto a+b$ but not $a+b \leadsto b+a$.
For types nat, int etc:

- lemmas add_ac sort any sum (+)
- lemmas times_ac sort any product (*)


## Ordered rewriting

Problem: $? x+? y=? y+? x$ does not terminate
Solution: permutative simp-rules are used only if the term becomes lexicographically smaller.
Example: $b+a \leadsto a+b$ but not $a+b \leadsto b+a$.
For types nat, int etc:

- lemmas add_ac sort any sum (+)
- lemmas times_ac sort any product (*)

Example: (simp add: add_ac) yields

$$
(b+c)+a \leadsto \cdots \leadsto a+(b+c)
$$

## Preprocessing

simp-rules are preprocessed (recursively) for maximal simplification power:
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## Preprocessing

simp-rules are preprocessed (recursively) for maximal simplification power:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\neg A & \mapsto A=\text { False } \\
A \longrightarrow B & \mapsto A \Longrightarrow B \\
A \wedge B & \mapsto A, B \\
\forall x \cdot A(x) & \mapsto A(? x) \\
A & \mapsto A=\text { True }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example:

$(p \longrightarrow q \wedge \neg r) \wedge s \quad \mapsto \quad p \Longrightarrow q=$ True,$r=$ False,$s=$ True

## When everything else fails: Tracing

Set trace mode on/off in Proof General:

## Isabelle/Isar $\rightarrow$ Settings $\rightarrow$ Trace simplifier

Output in separate buffer:
Proof-General $\rightarrow$ Buffers $\rightarrow$ Trace

## Demo: simp

